EXHIBIT "A"

Q Zoom-In **Q** Zoom-Out \mathfrak{S} Home > Search > Case Search Case Details - GD-19-014584 Ransom vs Stericycle Inc. Filing Date: 10/16/2019 Filing Time: 08:33:00 **Related Cases: Consolidated Cases:** Judge: No Judge Amount In Dispute: Case Type: **Employment Discrimination** Court Type: **General Docket Current Status:** Praecipe to Withdraw Appearance Jury Requested: No - Parties Count: 3 ₩-Search ◙ \blacksquare --Litigants--FName МΙ Address Initial Service Completion LName Туре Attorney Ransom Shelia Plaintiff Defendant Stericycle Inc. Showing 1 to 2 of 2 rows \blacksquare **Ⅲ** -Search ◙ --Attorney--LName **FName** МІ Address Type Phone Emily Ε Plaintiff's Attorney ROTHMAN GORDON P.C. 310 Grant Street, Floor 3 Pittsburgh PA 15219 4123381168 Town Showing 1 to 1 of 1 rows Search ூ ∷ ₩ ---Non Litigants--No matching records found ⊞ ϳ - Docket Entries Count: 5 Search Filing Date **Docket Type Docket Text** Filing Party Redacted Document Document 5 1/15/2021 Of John E. Black III, Esq. Praecipe to Shelia Ransom Withdraw Appearance 1/12/2021 Complaint Emily Town E Document 4 1/11/2021 Praecipe for Filed on behalf of Plaintiff Emily Town E Document 3 Appearance 10/31/2019 Sheriff Return Stericycle Inc. was served with Writ of Summons on 10/31/2019 by Served - Manager / other Sheriff Return person authorized to accept deliveries of United States Mail. James Fulton



IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SHELIA RANSOM,

Civil Action

Plaintiff,

No. GD-19-14584

v.

PRAECIPE FOR WRIT OF SUMMONS

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

Code: 009

Filed on behalf of Plaintiff, Shelia Ransom

Counsel of Record for this Party:

John E. Black, III

Pa. I. D. No. 83727 (Black)

Rothman Gordon, P.C. 310 Grant Street Third Floor, Grant Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1100

19 OCT 16 AN 10: OC DEPT OF COURT RECORDS CIVIL/FAMILY DIVISION ALLEGHEWY COUNTSION

> OPS\$KELLY1 16 October 2019 10:24:9 6D-19-014584

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SHELIA RANSOM,

Civil Action

Plaintiff,

No.

v.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

PRAECIPE FOR WRIT OF SUMMONS

TO THE PROTHONOTARY:

Kindly issue a Writ of Summons upon the Defendant in the above-captioned matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Rothman Gordon, P.C.

ohn E. Black, III

Pa. I.D. No. 83727

310 Grant Street Third Floor, Grant Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1100

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by: John E. Black, III

Signature:

Name: Jøhn/E. Black, III

Attorney No.: #83727

Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

Court of Common Pleas Civil Cover Sheet

ALLEGHENY

County

For Prothonotary Use Only:	V
**************************************	May 15
Docket No:	1-30
6D-19-14584	i

The information collected on this form is used solely for court administration purposes. This form does not supplement or replace the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law or rules of court.

Commencement of Action: ☐ Complaint ☑ Writ of Sum ☐ Transfer from Another Jurisdiction	nmons		Petition Declaration of Taking		
Lead Plaintiff's Name: SHELIA RANSOM			Lead Defendant's Name: STERICYCLE, INC.		
Are money damages requested? ✓ Yes ✓ No		Dollar Amount Requested: (check one)		■ within arbitration limits ▼ outside arbitration limit	
Is this a Class Action Suit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Is this an MD.	J Appeal?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Name of Plaintiff/Appellant's Attor	•		are a Self-Represer	ited [Pro Se	e Litigant)
	CASE. If y	you are mak	case category that ning more than one type		
TORT (do not include Mass Tort) Intentional Malicious Prosecution Motor Vehicle Nuisance Premises Liability Product Liability (does not include mass tort) Slander/Libel/ Defamation Other: MASS TORT Asbestos Tobacco Toxic Tort - DES		Buyer Plaintiff Debt Collection Debt Collection Employment I Discrimination	n: Credit Card n: Other Dispute:	Boar Dept Statu	trative Agencies d of Assessment d of Elections . of Transportation story Appeal: Other
Toxic Tort - Implant Toxic Waste Other: PROFESSIONAL LIABLITY Dental Legal Medical Other Professional:		Ground Rent Landlord/Tena Mortgage Fore	ain/Condemnation	Com Decl Mand Non- Rest	-Domestic Relations raining Order Warranto evin

Updated 1/1/2011

Sheriff Return

Case No: GD-19-014584

Casie Description:

Defendant: Stericycle Inc.

Service Address: 380 Locust Street Mckeesport, PA 15132 Allegheny

Writ Description: Writ of Summons

Issue Date: 10/16/2019 10:21 AM

Service Status: Served - Manager / other person authorized to accept deliveries of United States Mail

Served Upon: *Stericycle Inc.*

Served By: CITLE

Served On: 10/31/2019 12:05 PM

Service Method: Person In Charge

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SHELIA RANSOM,

Civil Division

Plaintiff,

No. GD 19-014584 No. GD 20-001361

v.

CIVIL COMPLAINT

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

Code: 009

Filed on behalf of Plaintiff,

Shelia Ransom

Counsel of Record for this Party:

Emily E. Town, Pa. I.D. No. 309881 Rothman Gordon, P.C. 310 Grant Street

3rd Floor, Grant Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1100

Attorney for Plaintiff

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SHELIA RANSOM,

Civil Division

Plaintiff,

No. GD 19-014584 No. GD 20-001361

٧.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

NOTICE TO DEFEND

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney, and filing in writing with the Court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so, the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the Court without further notice for any money claimed in the Complaint or for any claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE OR KNOW A LAWYER, THEN YOU SHOULD GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Lawyer Referral Service
Allegheny County Bar Association
11th Floor Koppers Building
436 Seventh Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Telephone: (412) 261-5555

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SHELIA RANSOM,

Civil Division

Plaintiff,

No. GD 19-014584 No. GD 20-001361

٧.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Shelia Ransom, by undersigned counsel, files this Complaint in identical form at the docket numbers listed—intending to move for the consolidation of these matters pursuant to Pa. R. Civ. P. 213 as they involve identical parties and a common core of operative facts and events, and in support states the following:

I. Jurisdiction

- 1. Plaintiff has satisfied all procedural and administrative requirements set forth in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. because:
 - a. On September 6, 2012, she timely filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC, at Charge No. 533-2012-01254 (the "2012 Charge"), alleging sex discrimination and retaliation, which was dual-filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission; and
 - b. On August 31, 2015, she timely filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC, at Charge No. 533-2015-01202 (the "2015 Charge"), alleging sex discrimination and retaliation, which was

dual-filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission; and

- c. On July 19, 2019, the EEOC issued a Notice of Right to Sue for the 2012 Charge;
- d. A Praecipe for Writ of Summons was filed within 90 days of Plaintiff's receipt of the Notice of Right to Sue;
- e. On November 1, 2019, the EEOC issued a Notice of Right to Sue for the 2015 Charge;
- f. A Praecipe for Writ of Summons was filed within 90 days of Plaintiff's receipt of the Notice of Right to Sue;
- g. On December 23, 2020, Defendant filed Praecipe for Rule to File a Complaint at No. GD 20-001361;
- h. It has been more than one year since Ransom dual-filed her Charges with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission.

II. The Parties

- 2. Plaintiff, Sheila Ransom¹ ("Ransom" or "Plaintiff") is an adult female who resides at 1806 Tyburn Lane, Pittsburgh, PA 15241.
- 3. Defendant, Stericyle, Inc., ("Stericyle") is a waste processing company located at 28161 N. Keith Drive, Lake Forrest, IL 60045 with a place of business located at 380 Locust Street, McKeesport, PA 15132.
 - 4. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant employed 15 or more individuals.
- 5. As such, Defendant is an employer within the meaning of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e(b). Defendant is also an employer under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act.

¹ Plaintiff's name was misspelled "Shelia" Ransom in the filing of her Praecipe for Writ of Summons at both dockets, and Plaintiff's Counsel will correct the caption accordingly.

III. Factual Background.

- 6. Ms. Sheila Ransom was hired by Defendant Stericycle on February 15, 1999—when Defendant acquired Medical Express, a medical waste transportation business started by Ms. Ransom's grandfather and owned by her family until its purchase by Stericycle.
- 7. Ms. Ransom had grown up in the business and was well-acquainted with all aspects of its operations.
- 8. Stericycle first employed Ms. Ransom as a dispatcher, then promoted her to acting Transportation Supervisor and eventually to Transportation Manager.
- 9. Around August 2011, Defendant employed Ms. Ransom in an undefined "facility manager" role after the size of the workforce reporting to her doubled and her management duties increased to include a waste processing facility.
- 10. Patrick Ruane, Ms. Ransom's manager, told her that her increase in duties and management responsibilities would be recognized with a new title and increased compensation—but those two things never materialized before she was fired.
 - 11. In December 2011, Stericycle hired Paul LaChance as Plant Supervisor.
- 12. When processing LaChance's new-hire paperwork, Ms. Ransom learned that he had been hired at a salary nearly identical to her own—despite his lack of experience and her presumably higher, but undefined, management position.
- 13. Ms. Ransom complained to Ruane about her salary relative to LaChance's, given their respective roles, but Ruane brushed the complaint aside telling Ms. Ransom she should try not to think about it and stating that new-hires were being hired at higher rates of pay.

No. GD 19-014584

No. GD 20-001361

- 14. On or around March 30, 2012, Ruane told Ms. Ransom that her position was being eliminated and that the position would be replaced with a Transportation Supervisor.
- 15. Although expressing displeasure about an effective "demotion," Ms. Ransom told Ruane that she needed her job and expressed willingness to fill the Transportation Supervisor position.
- 16. Ruane volunteered, however, that he had already discussed the Transportation Supervisor position with Human Resources and had raised this issue of Ms. Ransom not being physically capable of performing "ride-alongs" that he said would be part of the job requirements.
- 17. Ms. Ransom had previously reported to Ruane that she suffered from a medical condition that resulted in pain and excessive menstrual bleeding that made it difficult for her to complete ride-alongs during her menstruation for about one week each month.
- 18. Ruane had never indicated to Ms. Ransom that the one-week accommodation she required for her medical condition was problematic—instead he offered to assist with ride-alongs himself or have another employee complete them when Ms. Ransom was unable.
- 19. When Ruane brought up the issue of Ms. Ransom's menstrual bleeding in the March 30, 2012 meeting, she informed him that she had surgery scheduled within the next month to address—and potentially resolve—the problem.
- 20. Oddly, during the March 30, 2012 meeting, Ruane remarked that he felt he had "failed" Ms. Ransom the same way he had "failed" Marcy Reebel, a Transportation Supervisor in the company's Warren, Ohio facility he had fired the previous year.

- 21. Ruane tried to dissuade Ms. Ransom from staying on in the new Transportation Supervisor position, telling her "things are going to get worse not better," and instead discussing the severance benefits the company would provide to her.
- 22. The following Monday, April 2, 2012, Ruane sent Ms. Ransom a letter asking her to confirm that she was not interested in the Transportation Supervisor position—even though she had told him that she needed to work and was not declining that position during their March 30, 2012 meeting.
- 23. Although Ms. Ransom never provided the confirmation Ruane sought, she was relieved of her duties and sent home with a severance package offer three days later, on April 5, 2012.
 - 24. Stericycle then advertised the Transportation Supervisor position.
- 25. On April 16, 2012, Ms. Ransom contacted Ruane to confirm that she would accept the Transportation Supervisor position.
- 26. Ruane replied that she was not being offered the position, but that she could apply for it and complete an interview—so Ms. Ransom applied and was interviewed on April 19, 2012.
- 27. On April 26, 2012, Ms. Ransom was told that Stericycle had selected a "more qualified" candidate for the position—James Fulton.
 - 28. Ms. Ransom's last date of employment with Stericycle was May 4, 2012.
- 29. On or about September 6, 2012, Plaintiff filed the 2012 Charge based on her removal from the Transportation Manager positions and Defendant's failure to hire her for the

Transportation Supervisor position at Defendant's McKeesport, PA facility, events which happened in or around April, 2012.

- 30. Through the course of the EEOC Investigation of the 2012 Charge, Defendant asserted that Ms. Ransom's lack of a commercial driver's license (CDL) was one of the reasons she was not selected for the Transportation Supervisor position.
- 31. However, the EEOC Investigation also revealed that Defendant does not utilize trucks requiring a CDL license at the McKeesport facility where Ms. Ransom worked and where the new position was located.
- 32. On February 10, 2015, the EEOC held a Fact Finding Conference regarding the 2012 Charge.
- 33. Patrick Ruane, Plaintiff's former manager, was among Defendant's witnesses at the Fact Finding Conference.
- 34. On March 5, 2015, Plaintiff applied online for two positions newly-advertised by Defendant and located at Defendant's facility located in McKeesport, Pennsylvania, Sharp Service Supervisor and Transportation Supervisor.
- 35. Plaintiff received an acknowledgment dated March 5, 2015 from Defendant thanking her for her interest in the company, indicating that her skills and qualifications were under review and informing her that a human resources representative would contact her if further information was required.
- 36. Plaintiff received no further communication from Defendant regarding her applications.

No. GD 19-014584

No. GD 20-001361

- 37. Defendant hired two men to fill the Transportation Supervisor and Sharp Service Supervisor positions.
- 38. With her long history in the business that was grown by her family, Ms. Ransom was qualified for both positions.
- 39. Ms. Ransom was fired by Defendant because of her sex, female, and because she had complained of a gender-based pay discrepancy.
- 40. Ms. Ransom was not hired for the Transportation Supervisor position in April 2012 because of her gender and because she had refused to accept a severance package releasing her claims of discrimination against Defendant.
- 41. Ms. Ransom was not hired—or even considered—for the Transportation Supervisor or Sharp Service Supervisor positions in March 2015 because of her gender and because she had filed an EEOC Charge against Defendant.

Count I Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Termination in May 2012 Because of Sex

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 41 as if fully restated herein.
- 43. Defendant fired Ransom because of her sex, female, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 44. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.

45. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count II Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Failure to Hire for Transportation Supervisor Position in April 2012

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 45 as if fully restated herein.
- 47. Defendant failed to hire Ransom in or around April 2012 because of her sex, female, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 48. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 49. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.

- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count III Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Retaliation for Complaining of Pay Discrimination

- 50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 49 as if fully restated herein.
- 51. Defendant took adverse action against her because she had complained of gender-based pay discrimination and, therefore, violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 52. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 53. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal

treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;

- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count IV Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Retaliation for Refusal to Release Claims

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 53 as if fully restated herein.
- 55. Defendant retaliated against Ransom by failing to hire her for the Transportation Supervisor position in or around April 2012 because she refused to release and waive claims against it and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 56. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.

57. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count V Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Failure to Hire for Transportation Supervisor Position in 2015

- 58. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 57 as if fully restated herein.
- 59. Defendant failed to hire Ransom for the Transportation Supervisor Position in or around March 2015 because of her sex, female, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 60. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 61. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;

- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count VI

Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Retaliation for Filing 2012 EEOC Charge – Transportation Supervisor Position

- 62. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 61 as if fully restated herein.
- 63. Defendant failed to hire Ransom in or around March 2015 for the posted Transportation Supervisor position to which she applied in retaliation for her filing a charge of discrimination against it, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 64. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 65. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following:

a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;

- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count VII Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Failure to Hire for Sharps Service Supervisor Position in 2015

- 66. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 65 as if fully restated herein.
- 67. Defendant failed to hire Ransom for the Sharps Service Supervisor position in or around March 2015 because of her sex, female, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).

- 68. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 69. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count VIII Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Retaliation for filing 2012 EEOC Charge – Sharps Service Supervisor

- 70. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 69 as if fully restated herein.
- 71. Defendant failed to hire Ransom in or around March 2015 for the posted Sharps Service Supervisor position to which she applied in retaliation for her filing a charge of discrimination against it, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 72. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 73. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;

- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count IX

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Termination in May 2012 Because of Sex

- 74. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 73 as if fully restated herein.
- 75. Defendant's termination of Ransom because of her sex, female, violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").
- 76. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and

e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count X Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Retaliation

- 77. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 76 as if fully restated herein.
- 78. Defendant's retaliation against Ransom for making protected complaints of gender-based pay disparity violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").
- 79. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XI

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Failure to Hire - April 2012 Transportation Supervisor Position

- 80. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 79 as if fully restated herein.
- 81. Defendant's failure to hire Ransom because of her sex, female, violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").
- 82. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following:

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XII

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Failure to Hire – March 2015 Transportation Supervisor Position

83. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 82 as if fully restated herein.

- 84. Defendant's failure to hire or consider Ransom for the Transportation Supervisor Position in or around March 2015 violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").
- 85. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following:

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XIII

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Retaliation – March 2015 Transportation Supervisor Position

- 86. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 85 as if fully restated herein.
- 87. Defendant's failure to hire or consider Ransom for the Transportation Supervisor Position in or around March 2015 because she had filed an EEOC Charge against it violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").

88. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following:

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XIV

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Failure to Hire – March 2015 Sharps Service Supervisor

- 89. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 88 as if fully restated herein.
- 90. Defendant's failure to hire or consider Ransom for the Sharps Service Supervisor Position in or around March 2015 violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").
- 91. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following:

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d, That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XV

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Retaliation – March 2015 Sharps Service Supervisor Position

- 92. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 91 as if fully restated herein.
- 93. Defendant's failure to hire or consider Ransom for the Sharps Service Supervisor Position in or around March 2015 because she had filed an EEOC Charge against it violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").
- 94. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;

- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XVI

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Retaliation – April 2012 Transportation Supervisor Position

- 95. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 94 as if fully restated herein.
- 96. Defendant's failure to hire Ransom for the Transportation Supervisor Position in or around April 2012 because she had refused to release claims against it violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").
- 97. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and

e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Rothman Gordon, P.C.

/s/ Emily E. Town Emily E. Town Pa. I.D. No. 309881

310 Grant Street 3rd Floor, Grant Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1100

Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify on this 11th day of January, 2021, I served a copy of the foregoing *Civil*Complaint via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid upon the following:

Gregory T. Sturges Adam R. Roseman Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1717 Arch Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103

> /s/ Emily E. Town Emily E. Town

VERIFIED STATEMENT

I, Sheila Ransom, declare under penalty of perjury, and subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities, that the statements set forth in the Civil Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Shelia Ransom

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by: Plaintiff				
Signature: /s/ Emily E. Town				
Name: Emily E. Town				
Attorney No.: 309881				

4837-1136-9430, v. 1

SHELIA RANSOM,

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

No. GD-19-014584

٧.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant,

PRAECIPE FOR ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

Filed on behalf of Plaintiff

Counsel of Record for this Party:

Emily E. Town, Esquire Pa. I.D. No. 309881

Rothman Gordon, P.C. Firm I.D. 010 310 Grant Street – Third Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1168 (telephone) (412) 246-1768 (facsimile) eetown@rothmangordon.com

SHELIA RANSOM, CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, No. GD-19-014584

٧.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant,

PRAECIPE FOR ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

TO: The Department of Court Records:

Kindly enter the appearance of Emily E. Town, and the law firm of Rothman Gordon, P.C., as Counsel on behalf of Plaintiff Shelia Ransom.

ROTHMAN GORDON, P.C.

By: Emily E. Town
Emily E. Town, Esquire
Pa. I.D. No. 309881

Rothman Gordon, P.C. Firm I.D. 010 310 Grant Street – Third Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1168 (telephone) (412) 246-1768 (facsimile) eetown@rothmangordon.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted I	oy: <u>Plaintiff</u>
Signature:	/s/ Emily E. Town
	Emily E. Town
Attorney No	-

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the within Praecipe for Entry of Appearance has been served upon the below counsel of record at the addresses set forth below via regular mail, this 11th day of January, 2021:

Gregory T. Sturges, Esquire Adam R. Roseman, Esquire Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1717 Arch Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103

By: Emily E. Town
Emily E. Town



Emily E Town
Phone 412-338-1168
Direct fax 412-246-1768
EETown@rothmangordon.com

January 19, 2021

Gregory T. Sturges Adam R. Roseman Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1717 Arch Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Re: Shelia Ransom v. Stericycle, Inc.

GD-19-014584

Counsel:

Enclosed please find the Praecipe to Withdraw Appearance filed with the Allegheny County Department of Court Records on January 15th, 2021. Please not that Attorney John. E. Black, III no longer represents the Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Emily E. Town Emily E. Town

Enclosure

EET/rlj

4829-2444-3352, v. 1



Member

SHELIA RANSOM,

Plaintiff,

٧.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant,

CIVIL ACTION

No. GD-19-014584

PRAECIPE TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE

Filed on behalf of Plaintiff

Counsel of Record for this Party:

Emily E. Town, Esquire Pa. I.D. No. 309881

Rothman Gordon, P.C. Firm I.D. 010 310 Grant Street – Third Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1168 (telephone) (412) 246-1768 (facsimile) eetown@rothmangordon.com

SHELIA RANSOM,

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

No. GD-19-014584

٧.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

PRAECIPE TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE

TO THE PROTHONOTARY:

Undersigned counsel respectfully requests this Court withdraw the appearance of John E. Black, III for the following reason:

- 1. John E. Black, III left the law firm of Rothman Gordon, P.C. effective November 20 2020.
- 2. Plaintiff continues to be represented by Emily E. Town and the law firm of Rothman Gordon, P.C.

WHEREFORE, undersigned counsel respectfully requests this Court withdraw the appearance of John E. Black, III the above reasons.

ROTHMAN GORDON, P.C.

By: Emily E. Town

Emily E. Town, Esquire Pa. I.D. No. 309881

Rothman Gordon, P.C. Firm I.D. 010 310 Grant Street – Third Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1168 (telephone) (412) 246-1768 (facsimile) eetown@rothmangordon.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy* of the *Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by: Plaintiff	
Signature: /s/ Emily E. Town	
Name: Emily E. Town	
Attorney No.: 309881	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the within Praecipe to Withdraw Appearance has been served upon the below counsel of record at the addresses set forth below via regular mail, this 15th day of January, 2021:

Gregory T. Sturges, Esquire Adam R. Roseman, Esquire Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1717 Arch Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103

By:	Emily E. Town	
	Emily E. Town	

4810-9616-6870, v. 1

Rothman Gordon P.C.

310 Grant Street, Third Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Greenberg Traurig, LLP Adam R. Roseman Philadelphia, PA 19103 1717 Arch Street, Suite 400

Gregory T. Sturges

19109-274500

19 JAN 2021 PM 3 💢 PITTSBURGH PA 150





<u>Allegheny County Department Of Court Records</u>

Civil/Family Division Docket Report

Run Date and Time: 2/5/2021 - 12:13:53

GD-20-001361	
	Ransom vs Stericycle Inc.
Filing Date:	
1/27/2020	
Related Cases:	
Consolidated Cases:	
Judge:	
No Judge	
Amount In Dispute:	
\$0	
Case Type:	
Employment Discrimination	
• ,	
Court Type:	
General Docket	
Current Status:	
Praecipe to Withdraw Appearance	
- Сертина и предостава и предост На предостава и пре	
Jury Requested:	
N N	
Parties	

Parties						
LName	FName	МІ	Туре	Address	Initial Service Completion	Attorney
Ransom	Shelia		Plaintiff			Emily E Town
Stericycle Inc.			Defendant		01/31/2020 1331	Gregory T Sturges

	Attorney							
LName FName MI Type		Туре	Address	Phone				
Town	Emily	Е	Plaintiff's Attorney	ROTHMAN GORDON P.C. 310 Grant Street, Floor 3 Pittsburgh PA 15219	4123381168			
Roseman	Adam	R.	Defendant's Attorney					
Sturges	Gregory	Т	Defendant's Attorney					

Non Litigants						
LName FName MI Type Address Phone						
No Litigants Found						

Docket Entries
Docket Entries

Case 2:21-cv-00191-WSH Document 1-1 Filed 02/09/21 Page 51 of 105

Filing Date	Docket Type	Docket Text	Filing Party
1/15/2021	Praecipe to Withdraw Appearance	Of John E. Black, III, Esq.	Emily Town E
1/11/2021	Praecipe for Appearance	Filed on behalf of Plaintiff	Emily Town E
1/11/2021	Complaint		Shelia Ransom
12/23/2020	Praecipe for Rule to File Complaint		Stericycle Inc.
12/23/2020	Praecipe for Appearance	Filed on Behalf of Defendant, Stericycle, Inc.	Adam Roseman R.
12/23/2020	Praecipe for Appearance	Filed on Behalf of Defendant, Stericycle, Inc.	Gregory Sturges T
1/31/2020	Sheriff Return	Stericycle Inc. was served with Writ of Summons on 01/31/2020 by Served - Manager / other person authorized to accept deliveries of United States Mail. Melissa Satini	Shelia Ransom
1/27/2020	Praecipe for Writ of Summons		Shelia Ransom

Judgments Against				
Name Amount Satisfied(Y,N)				
No Judgments Found				

Events Schedule						
Event Scheduled Event Date & Time Room Number Judge/Hearing Officer						
No Information Found						

SHELIA RANSOM,

٧.

Civil Action

Plaintiff,

No. GD 20 1361

PRAECIPE FOR WRIT OF SUMMONS

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

Code: 009

Filed on behalf of Plaintiff, Shelia Ransom

Counsel of Record for this Party:

John E. Black, III

Pa. I. D. No. 83727 (Black)

Rothman Gordon, P.C. 310 Grant Street Third Floor, Grant Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1100

20 JAN 27 PM 2: 56
DEPT OF COURT RECORDS
ALLEGHENY COUNTSION

OPS\$KELLY1 27 January 2020 14:58:9 GD-20-001361

SHELIA RANSOM,

Civil Action

Plaintiff,

No.

ν.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

PRAECIPE FOR WRIT OF SUMMONS

TO THE PROTHONOTARY:

Kindly issue a Writ of Summons upon the Defendant in the above-captioned matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Rothman Gordon, P.C.

John E. Black, III

Pa. I.D. No. 83727

310 Grant Street Third Floor, Grant Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1100

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by: John E. Black, III

Signature: \q

Name: John E. Black, III

Attorney No.: #83727

Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

	Court of Common Pleas		For Prothonotary Us	e Only:	Ži
	Civil Cover Sheet		Docket No:		That Stable
	ALLEGHENY	County	65 20	1361	⁶³ ∂a
	000		0,5 20		
	The information collected on this form is used solely for court administration purposes. This form does not supplement or replace the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law or rules of court.				
S E	Commencement of Action: ☐ Complaint ☑ Writ of Summons ☐ Petition ☐ Transfer from Another Jurisdiction ☐ Declaration of Taking				
C T	Lead Plaintiff's Name: RANSOM, SHEILA		Lead Defendant's Name: STERICYCLE, INC.		
I O	Are money damages requested? ✓ Yes ✓ No		Dollar Amount Requested: within arbitration limits (check one) outside arbitration limits		
N	Is this a Class Action Suit?	□Yes ⊠ No	Is this an MD	J Appeal?	Yes ⊠ No
A	Name of Plaintiff/Appellant's Attorney: _JOHN E. BLACK, III				
	Check here if you have no attorney (are a Self-Represented [Pro Se] Litigant)				
	Nature of the Case: Place an "X" to the left of the ONE case category that most accurately describes your PRIMARY CASE. If you are making more than one type of claim, check the one that you consider most important.				
S E C T I O N	TORT (do not include Mass Tort) Intentional Malicious Prosecution Motor Vehicle Nuisance Premises Liability Product Liability (does not include mass tort) Slander/Libel/ Defamation Other: Asbestos Tobacco Toxic Tort - DES	CONTRACT (do r Buyer Plaintiff Debt Collectio Debt Collectio Employment D Employment D Other:	n: Credit Card n: Other Dispute:	Administrative A Board of As Board of Ele Dept. of Tra Statutory Ap Zoning Boar Other:	Agencies sessment ections nsportation opeal: Other
В	Toxic Tort - Implant Toxic Waste Other: PROFESSIONAL LIABLITY Dental Legal Medical Other Professional:	Ground Rent Landlord/Tena Mortgage Fore	ain/Condemnation	MISCELLANE Common La Declaratory Mandamus Non-Domes Restraining Quo Warran Replevin Other:	w/Statutory Arbitration Judgment tic Relations Order

Sheriff Return

Case No: GD-20-001361

Casie Description: Ransom vs Stericycle Inc.

Defendant: Stericycle Inc.

Service Address: 380 Locust Street McKeesport, PA 15132 Allegheny

Writ Description: Writ of Summons

Issue Date: 01/27/2020 02:59 PM

Service Status: Served - Manager / other person authorized to accept deliveries of United States Mail

Served Upon: *Stericycle Inc.*

Served By: CITLE

Served On: 01/31/2020 01:31 PM

Service Method: Person In Charge

SHEILA RANSOM, : CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

v.

: Civil No.: GD-20-001361

STERICYCLE, INC.,
: PRAECIPE FOR ENTRY OF
: APPEARANCE

Defendant. : Filed on Behalf of Defendant,

Stericycle, Inc.

: Counsel of Record for This Party:

: Adam R. Roseman, Esquire

: PA I.D. No.: 313809

: GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

1717 Arch Street, Suite 400
 Philadelphia, PA 19103
 Pr. (215) 988 7826

: P: (215) 988-7826 : F: (215) 988-7801

SHEILA RANSOM, : CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

: Civil No.: GD-20-001361

.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

v.

:

Defendant.

PRAECIPE FOR ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

TO THE CLERK OF COURT:

Kindly enter the appearance of Adam R. Roseman on behalf of Defendant, Stericycle, Inc., in the above-captioned action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 23, 2020 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

/s/ Adam R. Roseman

Adam R. Roseman (Pa. I.D. No. 313809)

1717 Arch Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103

(t) 215.988.7826 (f) 215.988.7801

rosemana@gtlaw.com

Attorney for Defendant, Stericycle, Inc.

SHEILA RANSOM, : CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

: Civil No.: GD-20-001361

:

STERICYCLE, INC.,

v.

:

Defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Adam R. Roseman, certify that on December 23, 2020, a copy of the foregoing Praecipe for Entry of Appearance was electronically filed with the Court and served on all counsel of record by electronic and U.S. Mail:

John E. Black III
EDGAR SNYDER & ASSOCIATES
600 Grant Street, Floor 10
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
contactus@edgarsnyder.com
Attorney for Plaintiff, Sheila Ransom

/s/ Adam R. Roseman

Adam R. Roseman (Pa. I.D. No. 313809)

Attorney for Defendant, Stericycle, Inc.

SHEILA RANSOM, : CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

STERICYCLE, INC.,

v.

Defendant.

Civil No.: GD-20-001361

: PRAECIPE FOR ENTRY OF

: APPEARANCE

: Filed on Behalf of Defendant,

Stericycle, Inc.

: Counsel of Record for This Party:

: Gregory T. Sturges, Esquire

: PA I.D. No.: 200992

: GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

: 1717 Arch Street, Suite 400: Philadelphia, PA 19103

: P: (215) 988-7820 : F: (215) 988-7801

SHEILA RANSOM, : CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

: Civil No.: GD-20-001361

Defendant.

PRAECIPE FOR ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

TO THE CLERK OF COURT:

v.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Kindly enter the appearance of Gregory T. Sturges on behalf of Defendant Stericycle, Inc., in the above-captioned action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 23, 2020 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

/s/ *Gregory T. Sturges*

Gregory T. Sturges (Pa. I.D. No. 200992)

1717 Arch Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103 (t) 215.988.7820

(f) 215.988.7801 sturgesg@gtlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant Stericycle, Inc.

SHEILA RANSOM, : CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

: Civil No.: GD-20-001361

v.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Gregory T. Sturges, certify that on December 23, 2020, a copy of the foregoing Praecipe for Entry of Appearance was electronically filed with the Court and served on all counsel of record by electronic and U.S. Mail:

John E. Black III
EDGAR SNYDER & ASSOCIATES
600 Grant Street, Floor 10
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
contactus@edgarsnyder.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ Gregory T. Sturges

Gregory T. Sturges (Pa. I.D. No. 200992)

Attorneys for Defendant Stericycle, Inc.

SHEILA RANSOM,

CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

Civil No.: GD-20-001361

v.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

PRAECIPE FOR RULE TO FILE

COMPLAINT

Defendant.

: Filed on Behalf of Defendant,

Stericycle, Inc.

Counsel of Record for This Party:

Gregory T. Sturges, Esquire

: PA I.D. No.: 200992

Adam R. Roseman, Esquire

: PA I.D. No. 313809

: GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

: 1717 Arch Street, Suite 400: Philadelphia, PA 19103

: P: (215) 988-7800 : F: (215) 988-7801

SHEILA RANSOM, : CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

Civil No.: GD-20-001361

Defendant.

PRAECIPE FOR RULE TO FILE COMPLAINT

TO THE PROTHONOTARY:

v.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Please enter a Rule upon plaintiff to file a Complaint within 20 days hereof or suffer the entry of a Judgment Non Pros.

Respectfully submitted,

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

/s/ Adam R. Roseman

Adam R. Roseman (PA I.D. No. 313809)

Attorneys for Defendant Stericycle, Inc.

Dated: December 23, 2020

SHEILA RANSOM,)
Plaintiff, v. STERICYCLE, INC.,)) CIVIL ACTION)) No. GD 20-1361)
Defendant.)
RULE TO FI	LE COMPLAINT
AND NOW, this day of	2020, a Rule is hereby granted
upon plaintiff to file a Complaint herein withi	n 20 days after service hereof or suffer the entry of
a Judgment of Non Pros.	
<u></u>	Prothonotary

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Adam R. Roseman, certify that on this 23rd day of December 2020, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Praecipe for Rule to File Complaint was electronically filed with the Court and served on all counsel of record by electronic and U.S. Mail:

John E. Black III
EDGAR SNYDER & ASSOCIATES
600 Grant Street, Floor 10
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
contactus@edgarsnyder.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ Adam R. Roseman

Adam R. Roseman (Pa. I.D. No. 313809)

Attorneys for Defendant Stericycle, Inc.

SHELIA RANSOM, Civil Division

Plaintiff, No. GD 19-014584

No. GD 20-001361

v. CIVIL COMPLAINT

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

Code: 009

Filed on behalf of Plaintiff,

Shelia Ransom

Counsel of Record for this Party:

Emily E. Town, Pa. I.D. No. 309881 Rothman Gordon, P.C. 310 Grant Street

310 Grant Street 3rd Floor, Grant Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1100

Attorney for Plaintiff

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SHELIA RANSOM, Civil Division

Plaintiff, No. GD 19-014584

No. GD 20-001361

v.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

NOTICE TO DEFEND

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney, and filing in writing with the Court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so, the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the Court without further notice for any money claimed in the Complaint or for any claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE OR KNOW A LAWYER, THEN YOU SHOULD GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Lawyer Referral Service
Allegheny County Bar Association
11th Floor Koppers Building
436 Seventh Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Telephone: (412) 261-5555

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SHELIA RANSOM,

Civil Division

Plaintiff,

No. GD 19-014584 No. GD 20-001361

v.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Shelia Ransom, by undersigned counsel, files this Complaint in identical form at the docket numbers listed—intending to move for the consolidation of these matters pursuant to Pa. R. Civ. P. 213 as they involve identical parties and a common core of operative facts and events, and in support states the following:

I. Jurisdiction

- 1. Plaintiff has satisfied all procedural and administrative requirements set forth in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) *et seq.* because:
 - a. On September 6, 2012, she timely filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC, at Charge No. 533-2012-01254 (the "2012 Charge"), alleging sex discrimination and retaliation, which was dual-filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission; and
 - b. On August 31, 2015, she timely filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC, at Charge No. 533-2015-01202 (the "2015 Charge"), alleging sex discrimination and retaliation, which was

- dual-filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission; and
- c. On July 19, 2019, the EEOC issued a Notice of Right to Sue for the 2012 Charge;
- d. A Praecipe for Writ of Summons was filed within 90 days of Plaintiff's receipt of the Notice of Right to Sue;
- e. On November 1, 2019, the EEOC issued a Notice of Right to Sue for the 2015 Charge;
- f. A Praecipe for Writ of Summons was filed within 90 days of Plaintiff's receipt of the Notice of Right to Sue;
- g. On December 23, 2020, Defendant filed Praecipe for Rule to File a Complaint at No. GD 20-001361;
- h. It has been more than one year since Ransom dual-filed her Charges with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission.

II. The Parties

- 2. Plaintiff, Sheila Ransom¹ ("Ransom" or "Plaintiff") is an adult female who resides at 1806 Tyburn Lane, Pittsburgh, PA 15241.
- 3. Defendant, Stericyle, Inc., ("Stericyle") is a waste processing company located at 28161 N. Keith Drive, Lake Forrest, IL 60045 with a place of business located at 380 Locust Street, McKeesport, PA 15132.
 - 4. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant employed 15 or more individuals.
- 5. As such, Defendant is an employer within the meaning of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e(b). Defendant is also an employer under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act.

¹ Plaintiff's name was misspelled "Shelia" Ransom in the filing of her Praecipe for Writ of Summons at both dockets, and Plaintiff's Counsel will correct the caption accordingly.

III. Factual Background.

- 6. Ms. Sheila Ransom was hired by Defendant Stericycle on February 15, 1999—when Defendant acquired Medical Express, a medical waste transportation business started by Ms. Ransom's grandfather and owned by her family until its purchase by Stericycle.
- 7. Ms. Ransom had grown up in the business and was well-acquainted with all aspects of its operations.
- 8. Stericycle first employed Ms. Ransom as a dispatcher, then promoted her to acting Transportation Supervisor and eventually to Transportation Manager.
- 9. Around August 2011, Defendant employed Ms. Ransom in an undefined "facility manager" role after the size of the workforce reporting to her doubled and her management duties increased to include a waste processing facility.
- 10. Patrick Ruane, Ms. Ransom's manager, told her that her increase in duties and management responsibilities would be recognized with a new title and increased compensation—but those two things never materialized before she was fired.
 - 11. In December 2011, Stericycle hired Paul LaChance as Plant Supervisor.
- 12. When processing LaChance's new-hire paperwork, Ms. Ransom learned that he had been hired at a salary nearly identical to her own—despite his lack of experience and her presumably higher, but undefined, management position.
- 13. Ms. Ransom complained to Ruane about her salary relative to LaChance's, given their respective roles, but Ruane brushed the complaint aside telling Ms. Ransom she should try not to think about it and stating that new-hires were being hired at higher rates of pay.

- 14. On or around March 30, 2012, Ruane told Ms. Ransom that her position was being eliminated and that the position would be replaced with a Transportation Supervisor.
- 15. Although expressing displeasure about an effective "demotion," Ms. Ransom told Ruane that she needed her job and expressed willingness to fill the Transportation Supervisor position.
- 16. Ruane volunteered, however, that he had already discussed the Transportation Supervisor position with Human Resources and had raised this issue of Ms. Ransom not being physically capable of performing "ride-alongs" that he said would be part of the job requirements.
- 17. Ms. Ransom had previously reported to Ruane that she suffered from a medical condition that resulted in pain and excessive menstrual bleeding that made it difficult for her to complete ride-alongs during her menstruation for about one week each month.
- 18. Ruane had never indicated to Ms. Ransom that the one-week accommodation she required for her medical condition was problematic—instead he offered to assist with ride-alongs himself or have another employee complete them when Ms. Ransom was unable.
- 19. When Ruane brought up the issue of Ms. Ransom's menstrual bleeding in the March 30, 2012 meeting, she informed him that she had surgery scheduled within the next month to address—and potentially resolve—the problem.
- 20. Oddly, during the March 30, 2012 meeting, Ruane remarked that he felt he had "failed" Ms. Ransom the same way he had "failed" Marcy Reebel, a Transportation Supervisor in the company's Warren, Ohio facility he had fired the previous year.

- 21. Ruane tried to dissuade Ms. Ransom from staying on in the new Transportation Supervisor position, telling her "things are going to get worse not better," and instead discussing the severance benefits the company would provide to her.
- 22. The following Monday, April 2, 2012, Ruane sent Ms. Ransom a letter asking her to confirm that she was not interested in the Transportation Supervisor position—even though she had told him that she needed to work and was not declining that position during their March 30, 2012 meeting.
- 23. Although Ms. Ransom never provided the confirmation Ruane sought, she was relieved of her duties and sent home with a severance package offer three days later, on April 5, 2012.
 - 24. Stericycle then advertised the Transportation Supervisor position.
- 25. On April 16, 2012, Ms. Ransom contacted Ruane to confirm that she would accept the Transportation Supervisor position.
- 26. Ruane replied that she was not being offered the position, but that she could apply for it and complete an interview—so Ms. Ransom applied and was interviewed on April 19, 2012.
- 27. On April 26, 2012, Ms. Ransom was told that Stericycle had selected a "more qualified" candidate for the position—James Fulton.
 - 28. Ms. Ransom's last date of employment with Stericycle was May 4, 2012.
- 29. On or about September 6, 2012, Plaintiff filed the 2012 Charge based on her removal from the Transportation Manager positions and Defendant's failure to hire her for the

No. GD 19-014584

No. GD 20-001361

Transportation Supervisor position at Defendant's McKeesport, PA facility, events which

happened in or around April, 2012.

30. Through the course of the EEOC Investigation of the 2012 Charge, Defendant

asserted that Ms. Ransom's lack of a commercial driver's license (CDL) was one of the reasons

she was not selected for the Transportation Supervisor position.

31. However, the EEOC Investigation also revealed that Defendant does not utilize

trucks requiring a CDL license at the McKeesport facility where Ms. Ransom worked and where

the new position was located.

32. On February 10, 2015, the EEOC held a Fact Finding Conference regarding the

2012 Charge.

33. Patrick Ruane, Plaintiff's former manager, was among Defendant's witnesses at

the Fact Finding Conference.

34. On March 5, 2015, Plaintiff applied online for two positions newly-advertised by

Defendant and located at Defendant's facility located in McKeesport, Pennsylvania, Sharp

Service Supervisor and Transportation Supervisor.

35. Plaintiff received an acknowledgment dated March 5, 2015 from Defendant

thanking her for her interest in the company, indicating that her skills and qualifications were

under review and informing her that a human resources representative would contact her if

further information was required.

36. Plaintiff received no further communication from Defendant regarding her

applications.

8

No. GD 19-014584

No. GD 20-001361

- 37. Defendant hired two men to fill the Transportation Supervisor and Sharp Service Supervisor positions.
- 38. With her long history in the business that was grown by her family, Ms. Ransom was qualified for both positions.
- 39. Ms. Ransom was fired by Defendant because of her sex, female, and because she had complained of a gender-based pay discrepancy.
- 40. Ms. Ransom was not hired for the Transportation Supervisor position in April 2012 because of her gender and because she had refused to accept a severance package releasing her claims of discrimination against Defendant.
- 41. Ms. Ransom was not hired—or even considered—for the Transportation Supervisor or Sharp Service Supervisor positions in March 2015 because of her gender and because she had filed an EEOC Charge against Defendant.

Count I Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Termination in May 2012 Because of Sex

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 41 as if fully restated herein.
- 43. Defendant fired Ransom because of her sex, female, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 44. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.

45. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count II Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Failure to Hire for Transportation Supervisor Position in April 2012

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 45 as if fully restated herein.
- 47. Defendant failed to hire Ransom in or around April 2012 because of her sex, female, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 48. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 49. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.

- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count III Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Retaliation for Complaining of Pay Discrimination

- 50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 49 as if fully restated herein.
- 51. Defendant took adverse action against her because she had complained of gender-based pay discrimination and, therefore, violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 52. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 53. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal

treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;

- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count IV Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Retaliation for Refusal to Release Claims

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 53 as if fully restated herein.
- 55. Defendant retaliated against Ransom by failing to hire her for the Transportation Supervisor position in or around April 2012 because she refused to release and waive claims against it and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 56. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.

57. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count V Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Failure to Hire for Transportation Supervisor Position in 2015

- 58. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 57 as if fully restated herein.
- 59. Defendant failed to hire Ransom for the Transportation Supervisor Position in or around March 2015 because of her sex, female, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 60. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 61. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;

- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count VI Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Retaliation for Filing 2012 EEOC Charge – Transportation Supervisor Position

- 62. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 61 as if fully restated herein.
- 63. Defendant failed to hire Ransom in or around March 2015 for the posted Transportation Supervisor position to which she applied in retaliation for her filing a charge of discrimination against it, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 64. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 65. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following:

a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;

- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count VII Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Failure to Hire for Sharps Service Supervisor Position in 2015

- 66. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 65 as if fully restated herein.
- 67. Defendant failed to hire Ransom for the Sharps Service Supervisor position in or around March 2015 because of her sex, female, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).

- 68. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 69. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count VIII Defendant's Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act Retaliation for filing 2012 EEOC Charge – Sharps Service Supervisor

- 70. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 69 as if fully restated herein.
- 71. Defendant failed to hire Ransom in or around March 2015 for the posted Sharps Service Supervisor position to which she applied in retaliation for her filing a charge of discrimination against it, and therefore violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).
- 72. Defendant's actions against Ransom were undertaken with reckless indifference to her federally protected rights under Title VII.
- 73. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Ransom suffered out of pocket costs and emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- b. That the Court order Defendant to instate Plaintiff into the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's illegal actions, with all benefits including, but not limited to wages, benefits, training and seniority;
- c. That Defendant be required to compensate Plaintiff for the full value of wages she would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal treatment of her, with interest until the date she is offered employment into a position substantially equivalent to the Transportation Supervisor;
- d. That Defendant be required to provide Plaintiff with front pay;
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;

- f. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant's illegal conduct and to deter others from engaging in similar conduct.
- g. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act;
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and reasonable attorney fees; and
- i. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count IX

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Termination in May 2012 Because of Sex

- 74. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 73 as if fully restated herein.
- 75. Defendant's termination of Ransom because of her sex, female, violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").
- 76. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and

e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count X Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Retaliation

- 77. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 76 as if fully restated herein.
- 78. Defendant's retaliation against Ransom for making protected complaints of gender-based pay disparity violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").
- 79. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XI

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Failure to Hire – April 2012 Transportation Supervisor Position

- 80. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 79 as if fully restated herein.
- 81. Defendant's failure to hire Ransom because of her sex, female, violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").
- 82. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following:

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XII

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Failure to Hire – March 2015 Transportation Supervisor Position

83. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 82 as if fully restated herein.

- 84. Defendant's failure to hire or consider Ransom for the Transportation Supervisor Position in or around March 2015 violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) *et seq.* ("PHRA").
- 85. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following:

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XIII

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Retaliation – March 2015 Transportation Supervisor Position

- 86. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 85 as if fully restated herein.
- 87. Defendant's failure to hire or consider Ransom for the Transportation Supervisor Position in or around March 2015 because she had filed an EEOC Charge against it violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) *et seq.* ("PHRA").

88. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following:

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XIV

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Failure to Hire – March 2015 Sharps Service Supervisor

- 89. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 88 as if fully restated herein.
- 90. Defendant's failure to hire or consider Ransom for the Sharps Service Supervisor Position in or around March 2015 violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) *et seq*. ("PHRA").
- 91. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following:

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XV

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Retaliation – March 2015 Sharps Service Supervisor Position

- 92. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 91 as if fully restated herein.
- 93. Defendant's failure to hire or consider Ransom for the Sharps Service Supervisor Position in or around March 2015 because she had filed an EEOC Charge against it violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) *et seq*. ("PHRA").
- 94. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;

- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and
- e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Count XVI

Defendant's Violations of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act Retaliation – April 2012 Transportation Supervisor Position

- 95. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 94 as if fully restated herein.
- 96. Defendant's failure to hire Ransom for the Transportation Supervisor Position in or around April 2012 because she had refused to release claims against it violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §955(a) et seq. ("PHRA").
- 97. As a direct result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Ransom suffered out of pocket losses, in addition to suffering emotional distress, inconvenience, and humiliation.

- a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring Defendant's actions to be unlawful and in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- b. That the Court award Plaintiff compensatory damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- c. That Defendant be enjoined from discriminating against Plaintiff in any manner that violates the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded against Defendant the costs and expenses of this litigation and a reasonable attorney fee; and

e. That the Court grant Ransom any additional relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Rothman Gordon, P.C.

/s/ Emily E. Town Emily E. Town Pa. I.D. No. 309881

310 Grant Street 3rd Floor, Grant Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1100

Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify on this 11th day of January, 2021, I served a copy of the foregoing *Civil**Complaint* via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid upon the following:

Gregory T. Sturges Adam R. Roseman Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1717 Arch Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103

> /s/ Emily E. Town Emily E. Town

VERIFIED STATEMENT

I, Sheila Ransom, declare under penalty of perjury, and subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities, that the statements set forth in the Civil Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by: Plaintiff		
Signature:/s/ Emily E. Town		
Name: Emily E. Town		
Attorney No.: 309881		

4837-1136-9430, v. 1

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SHELIA RANSOM,

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

No. GD-20-001361

٧.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant,

PRAECIPE FOR ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

Filed on behalf of Plaintiff

Counsel of Record for this Party:

Emily E. Town, Esquire Pa. I.D. No. 309881

Rothman Gordon, P.C. Firm I.D. 010 310 Grant Street – Third Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1168 (telephone) (412) 246-1768 (facsimile) eetown@rothmangordon.com

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SHELIA RANSOM, CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, No. GD-20-001361

٧.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant,

PRAECIPE FOR ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

TO: The Department of Court Records:

Kindly enter the appearance of Emily E. Town, and the law firm of Rothman Gordon, P.C., as Counsel on behalf of Plaintiff Shelia Ransom.

ROTHMAN GORDON, P.C.

By: Emily E. Town
Emily E. Town, Esquire
Pa. I.D. No. 309881

Rothman Gordon, P.C. Firm I.D. 010 310 Grant Street – Third Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1168 (telephone) (412) 246-1768 (facsimile) eetown@rothmangordon.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by: Plaintiff		
Signature:	/s/ Emily E. Town	
	Emily E. Town	
Attorney No	-	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the within Praecipe for Entry of Appearance has been served upon the below counsel of record at the addresses set forth below via regular mail, this 11th day of January, 2021:

Gregory T. Sturges, Esquire Adam R. Roseman, Esquire Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1717 Arch Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103

By: Emily E. Town
Emily E. Town

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SHELIA RANSOM,

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

No. GD-20-001361

٧.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant,

PRAECIPE TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE

Filed on behalf of Plaintiff

Counsel of Record for this Party:

Emily E. Town, Esquire Pa. I.D. No. 309881

Rothman Gordon, P.C. Firm I.D. 010 310 Grant Street – Third Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1168 (telephone) (412) 246-1768 (facsimile) eetown@rothmangordon.com

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SHELIA RANSOM,

Plaintiff,

V.

STERICYCLE, INC.,

Defendant,

PRAECIPE TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE

TO THE PROTHONOTARY:

Undersigned counsel respectfully requests this Court withdraw the appearance of John E. Black, III for the following reason:

- 1. John E. Black, III left the law firm of Rothman Gordon, P.C. effective November 20, 2020.
- 2. Plaintiff continues to be represented by Emily E. Town and the law firm of Rothman Gordon, P.C.

WHEREFORE, undersigned counsel respectfully requests this Court withdraw the appearance of John E. Black, III the above reasons.

ROTHMAN GORDON, P.C.

By: Emily E. Town
Emily E. Town, Esquire
Pa. I.D. No. 309881

Rothman Gordon, P.C. Firm I.D. 010 310 Grant Street – Third Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 338-1168 (telephone) (412) 246-1768 (facsimile) eetown@rothmangordon.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted I	by: <u>Plaintiff</u>
Signature:	/s/ Emily E. Town
	Emily E. Town
Attorney No	-

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the within Praecipe to Withdraw Appearance has been served upon the below counsel of record at the addresses set forth below via regular mail, this 15th day of January, 2021:

Gregory T. Sturges, Esquire Adam R. Roseman, Esquire Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1717 Arch Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103

By: Emily E. Town
Emily E. Town